1	A-	
1	Jeffrey A. LeVee (SBN 125863)	
2	Jason C. Murray (SBN 169806) Courtney Schaberg (SBN 193728)	
3	Eric P. Enson (SBN 204447) Sean W. Jaquez (SBN 223132)	
4	JONES DAY 555 South Flower Street, 50 th Floor	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213-489-3939	
	Facsimile: 213-243-2539	
6	jlevee@jonesday.com	
7 8	Attorneys for Defendant INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS	
9	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
11		
12	COALITION FOR ICANN	Case No. 05-4826 (RMW)
13	TRANSPARENCY INC.,	DEFENDANT INTERNET
14	Plaintiff,	CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS' ADMINISTRATIVE
15	V.	MOTION TO DETERMINE WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
16	VERISIGN, INC; and INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS,	[Civil Local Rule 3-12]
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

2	
2	
J	

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12, the undersigned counsel of record for defendant Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers ("ICANN") respectfully submits this Administrative Motion to Determine Whether Cases Should be Related.

Under the definitions found in Civil Local Rule 3-12, ICANN considers this action,

Coalition For ICANN Transparency Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc. and Internet Corporation for Assigned

Names and Numbers, Case No. 05-4826 (RMW), filed in this District on November 28, 2005 and

pending before the Honorable Ronald M. Whyte ("CFIT Action") to be related with World

Association of Domain Name Developers, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc. and Internet Corporation for

Assigned Names and Numbers, Case No. 05-4828, filed in this District on November 28, 2005

("World Association Action"). A copy of the World Association Action complaint is attached

hereto as Exhibit A.

Local Rule 3-12(a) considers actions to be related if they "concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event." Such is the case here. Both the CFIT Action and the World Association Action allege violations of the antitrust laws, California's Business and Professions Code Section 17200 and other statutes arising out of the implementation of a proposed ".com Registry Agreement" between ICANN and VeriSign, Inc. ("VeriSign"). And Both the CFIT Action and the World Association Action seek an order enjoining ICANN and VeriSign from implementing the ".com Registry Agreement."

Local Rule 3-12(a) further considers actions to be related if it "appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges." Given that both the CFIT Action and the World Association Action arise from the same transaction and events, involve substantially identical issues and seek the same remedies, their assignment to different judges inevitably would result in an unnecessary duplication of labor within this Court and create a risk of inconsistent decisions. Accordingly, both actions should be deemed by this Court to be related.

1 2	Dated: November 29, 2005	Respectfully Submitted, JONES DAY
3		A hotio
4		By: Courtney M. Scholery Dougles
5		Attorneys for Defendant INTERNET CORPORATION FOR
6		INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
24 25		
26		
27		
28		
E		I I

1		PROOF OF SERVICE
2		
3	I, Lyn	ne E. Trotti, declare:
4	I am a	citizen of the United States and employed in Los Angeles County, California. I an
5	over the age o	of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address
6	is 555 South I	Flower Street, Fiftieth Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071-2300. On November
7	29, 2005, I ca	used to be served a copy of the within document(s):
8		DEFENDANT INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO DETERMINE WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
.0		by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.
2	×	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as set forth below.
.4		by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and affixing a prepaid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a agent for delivery.
.6	×	by electronically delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) set forth below.
.7 .8 .9		Jesse W. Markham, Jr. William L. Stern Jennifer Lee Taylor Keith L. Butler MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
21		425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Phone: (415) 268-7000
3		Fax: (415) 268-7522 Email: JMarkham@mofo.com
4		WStern@mofo.com JLeeTaylor@mofo.com
.5		KButler@mofo.com
6		
7		

28

1 2 3 4 5	Laurence J. Hutt ARNOLD & PORTER 777 South Figueroa Street, 44th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-5855 Phone: (213) 243-4000 Fax: (213) 243-4199 Email: Laurence.Hutt@aporter.com
6	I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence
7	for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same
8	day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on
9	motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage
10	meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
11	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose
12	direction the service was made.
13	Executed on November 29, 2005, at Los Angeles, California.
14	1 1
15	Hore E. Protte
16	Lynne E. Trotti
16	
16 17 18 19	
16 17 18 19 20	
16 17 18 19 20 21	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	

LAI-2216797v1